

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/706,741	11/12/2003	Richard E. Wahler	5707-04500 7544		
7590 12/16/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Jeffrey C. Hood			AUVE, GLENN ALLEN		
Meyertons, Hoo					
Kowert & Goetzel PC			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 398			2111		
Austin, TX 78767			DATE MAILED: 12/16/200:	DATE MAILED: 12/16/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Commence	10/706,741	WAHLER, RICHARD E.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Glenn A. Auve	2111	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 11-18 and 20 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,7,10 and 19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-6,8 and 9 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examinet 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the ore Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction in the oreginal contents. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinet and the correction is objected to by the Examinet and the correction is objected to by the Examinet and the correction is objected to by the Examinet and the correction is objected to by the Examinet and the correction is objected to by the Examinet and the correction is objected to by the Examinet and the correction is objected to by the Examinet and the correction is objected to by the Examinet and the correction is objected to by the Examinet and the correction is objected to by the Examinet and the correction is objected to by the Examinet and the correction is objected to by the Examinet and the correction is objected to by the Examinet and the correction is objected to by the Examinet and the correction is objected to by the Examinet and the correction is objected to by the Examinet and the correction is objected to be the correction in the correction is objected to be the correction in the correction is objected to be the correction in the correction in the correction is objected to be the correction in the correction in the correction is objected to be the correction in the correc	election requirement. T. Pepted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/12/2004</u> .	6)		

Application Number: 10/706,741 2

Art Unit: 2111

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because in fig. 4A "bu" should be "by" and in fig.5, block 502 "internet" should be "interrupt". Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 7 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 2111

3.

Claim 7 is rejected based on lack of positive antecedent basis of "the code table" on line

3

Claim 19 is rejected based on lack of positive antecedent basis of "the code table" on line 4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Suzuki, U.S. Pat. No. 5,101,199.

As per claim 1, Suzuki shows a method for handling an interrupt, the method comprising: receiving an interrupt request corresponding to a particular interrupt (abstract); substituting a vector corresponding to a group of interrupts with a vector corresponding to the particular interrupt (abstract, fig.5, and col.7, line 29 – col.8, line 44); and jumping to a service routine corresponding to the particular interrupt responsive to said substituting (col.8, lines 38-44). Suzuki shows all of the steps recited in claim 1.

As for claim 10, the argument for claim 1 applies. Suzuki also shows executing the service routine responsive to said jumping (col.8, lines 38-44). Suzuki shows all of the steps recited in claim 10.

Application Number: 10/706,741 4

Art Unit: 2111

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 2-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

- 7. Claims 11-18 and 20 are allowed.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: with respect to claim 11, the prior art does not show the particular combination of the interrupt source register with the ROM as recited in the claim.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The other cited references show interrupt handling but they do not appear to show the details as claimed.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Glenn A. Auve whose telephone number is (571) 272-3623. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 AM-5:30 PM, every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached on (571) 272-3632. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2111

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Glenn A. Auve Primary Examiner Art Unit 2111

gaa

9 December 2005